## Public Document Pack

Your Ref:
Our Ref:

All Members

Date 1 November 2016

Contact: Julia Stuckey
Telephone
: 01884234209

Dear Member
Environment -8 November 2016
I am now able to enclose, for consideration at the next meeting of the Environment Policy Development Group, the following reports that were unavailable when the agenda was printed.

7 Draft Budget (Pages 3-16)

To consider options available in order for the Council to set a balanced budget for $2017 / 18$ and agree a future strategy for further budget reductions for 2018/19 onwards.

Yours sincerely

Julia Stuckey<br>Member Services Officer
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ENVIRONMENT PDG 2017/18 Service Unit Budgets
Summary of PDG


SES02 Cemeteries

| Group | Description | 2015/16 Actuals | 2016/17 Budget | 2017/18 Budge | Movement £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | Employees | 39,855 | 54,590 | 62,230 | 7,640 |
| 2000 | Premises | 86,517 | 20,810 | 21,030 | 220 |
| 3000 | Transport | 1,247 | 340 | 340 | 0 |
| 4000 | Cost Of Goods And Services | 15,882 | 9,840 | 9,930 | 90 |
| 7000 | Income | $(123,947)$ | $(133,190)$ | $(128,380)$ | 4,810 |
|  | Sum: | 19,555 | $(47,610)$ | $(34,850)$ | 12,760 |
| Cost Centre | Cost Centre Name | 2017/18 Budget |  | 10\% Savings | $(4,761)$ |
| ES100 | Cemeteries | $(97,780)$ |  | 20\% Savings | $(9,522)$ |

ES110 Bereavement Services TOTAL
D
Cost Pressures/Savings on Basis of Current Service Provision
(D) 1000 - Increased salary budget is as result of the new Operations Manager on a full-time contract.
$+$
7000 - Income budget has been reduced on Internments to reflect the current income position in 16-17.

Impact and Risks if 10\% Savings Imposed
Increase in Internment \& Exclusive Burial Rights fees by 5\% (5.7k). Cemetery Fees were increased by 10\% in 16-17, previously we have agreed an increase every other year.

Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed
Increase in Internment \& Exclusive Burial Rights fee by 10\% (11.4k)

## SES05 Open Spaces



## Cost Pressures/Savings on Basis of Current Service Provision

7000 - Income budgets for Developer's Contributions have been set below the line

```
Impact and Risks if 10\% Savings Imposed
- Possible transfer of paddling pools (£3k est) to Town Council's
- Increase inspections on Parks \& Play area, selling services to Towns and Parishes.
```

Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed

- Look into running events in our Parks to generate income
- Amory Park Rec could be converted into porta cabins which would reduce running cost or look at a different use.
- Splash park with charge for entrance.


## SGM01 Grounds Maintenance

| Group | Description | 2015/16 Actuals | 2016/17 Budget | 2017/18 Budge | Movement £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | Employees | 437,313 | 459,230 | 455,550 | $(3,680)$ |
| 2000 | Premises | 18,065 | 41,910 | 41,640 | (270) |
| 3000 | Transport | 93,041 | 74,120 | 71,030 | $(3,090)$ |
| 4000 | Cost Of Goods And Services | 38,201 | 36,180 | 37,130 | 950 |
| 7000 | Income | $(37,866)$ | $(49,310)$ | $(46,000)$ | 3,310 |
|  | Sum: | 548,753 | 562,130 | 559,350 | $(2,780)$ |
| Cost Centre | Cost Centre Name | 2017/18 Budget |  | 10\% Savings | 56,213 |
| GM960 | Grounds Maintenance | 559,350 |  | 20\% Savings | 112,426 |
|  | TOTAL | 559,350 |  |  |  |

T
Cost Pressures/Savings on Basis of Current Service Provision
1000-1\% pay award
の
Impact and Risks if 10\% Savings Imposed
$-\times 2$ FTE on Grass cutting, plus equipment associated ( $£ 45 \mathrm{k}$ est), risk to this service would be a reduced level of hedge, grass and tree works across the District.

- Removal of Agency budget $£ 5 \mathrm{k}$, risks associated with this would be the service struggling to cover holiday and sickness.
- Increased contributions for grass cutting

Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed

- Further 1 FTE on AD Hoc works plus a reduction in a team leader post. Over the $10 \& 20 \%$ this would result in a reduction of 1 whole team. The impact on the service would be that grass cutting frequencies would have to be reviewed, the District would look unsightly with many complaints from members of the public and the possibility of delay's in Cemetery burials as the team would struggle to cope with this reduction.
- Look to maximise Tree works gang (spend to save) and look generate income from selling services externally.


## SPS03 Flood Defence And Land Drain

| Group | Description | 2015/16 Actuals | $2016 / 17$ Budget | 2017/18 Budge Movement £ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1000 | Employees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2000 | Premises | 3,033 | 26,230 | 26,230 | 0 |  |
| 4000 | Cost Of Goods And Services | 12,709 | 200 | 200 | 0 |  |
| 7000 | Income | $(19,400)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sum: | $\mathbf{( 3 , 6 5 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |


| Cost Centre | Cost Centre Name | 2017/18 Budget |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PS400 | Flood Defence And Land Drain | 26,430 |
|  | TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 6 , 4 3 0}$ |


| $10 \%$ Savings | 2,643 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20 \%$ Savings | 5,286 |

O
Cost Pressures/Savings on Basis of Current Service Provision
(1)
$\checkmark$

Impact and Risks if 10\% Savings Imposed

- Any cuts made to this budget would result in potential flooding in areas around the District. Some of the spend against this
budget is matched from funding from Devon County Council.

Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed

SPS04 Street Naming \& Numbering

| Group | Description | 2015/16 Actuals | 2016/17 Budget | 2017/18 Budge | Movement £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | Employees | 5,360 | 5,460 | 5,580 | 120 |
| 2000 | Premises | 2,696 | 3,570 | 2,970 | (600) |
| 3000 | Transport | (2) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4000 | Cost Of Goods And Services | 64 | 70 | 60 | (10) |
| 7000 | Income | $(1,981)$ | $(1,190)$ | $(1,390)$ | (200) |
|  | Sum: | 6,137 | 7,910 | 7,220 | (690) |
| Cost Centre | Cost Centre Name | 2017/18 Budget |  | 10\% Savings | 791 |
| PS600 | Street Naming \& Numbering | 7,220 |  | 20\% Savings | 1,582 |

$\square$

Impact and Risks if 10\% Savings Imposed

- Most of this cost is salary based so would have to reduce hours spent on street naming \& numbering


## Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed

- This service have already made cuts to the number of signs we put up ( 1 sign on one side of the road) if a further $20 \%$ was required the service would struggle to react.

SPS07 Public Transport

| Group | Description | 2015/16 Actuals | 2016/17 Budget | 2017/18 Budge Movement £ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | Premises | 3,055 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 0 |
| 4000 | Cost Of Goods And Services | 3,491 | 920 | 890 | $(30)$ |
| 7000 | Income | $(22,189)$ | $(24,000)$ | $(24,000)$ | 0 |
|  | Sum: | $\mathbf{( 1 5 , 6 4 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 5 , 0 8 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 5 , 1 1 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 )}$ |


| Cost Centre | Cost Centre Name | 2017/18 Budget |  | 10\% Savings | $(1,508)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PS880 | Bus Station Maintenance | $(15,110)$ |  | $20 \%$ Savings | $(3,016)$ |
|  | TOTAL | $(15,110)$ |  |  |  |

$\qquad$
Impact and Risks if 10\% Savings Imposed

- Increase the departure charges to the Bus companies

Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed

SPS11 Public Conveniences

| Group | Description | 2015/16 Actuals | 2016/17 Budget | 2017/18 Budge | Movement £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | Employees | 30,692 | 28,780 | 23,120 | $(5,660)$ |
| 2000 | Premises | 59,430 | 61,360 | 58,270 | $(3,090)$ |
| 3000 | Transport | 3,777 | 4,010 | 4,040 | 30 |
| 4000 | Cost Of Goods And Services | 10,283 | 10,350 | 8,000 | $(2,350)$ |
| 7000 | Income | $(32,635)$ | $(55,200)$ | $(50,200)$ | 5,000 |
|  | Sum: | 71,548 | 49,300 | 43,230 | $(6,070)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Centre | Cost Centre Name | 2017/18 Budget |  | 10\% Savings | 4,930 |
| PS350 | Public Conveniences | 43,230 |  | 20\% Savings | 9,860 |
|  | TOTAL | 43,230 |  |  |  |

## Cost Pressures/Savings on Basis of Current Service Provision

1000 - Cleaning hours have moved to Waste for the cleaning of Carlu Close.
2000 - Reduction in the Utility bills.
7000 - Reduction in the income being received to reflect the real recharge to Parish \& Town Councils.

```
Impact and Risks if 10% Savings Imposed
- 100% cross charging to Town & Parish Councils, which would lead to reduction in internal and external cleaning staff, where
Parish or Town councils are only making a small contribution we could look to increase.
```

[^0]
## SWS01 Street Cleansing

| Group | Description | 2015/16 Actuals | 2016/17 Budget | 2017/18 Budge | Movement £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | Employees | 227,264 | 206,730 | 217,910 | 11,180 |
| 2000 | Premises | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3000 | Transport | 69,908 | 79,010 | 80,980 | 1,970 |
| 4000 | Cost Of Goods And Services | 42,045 | 44,300 | 44,300 | 0 |
| 7000 | Income | $(7,254)$ | $(7,270)$ | $(8,470)$ | $(1,200)$ |
|  | Sum: | 331,964 | 322,770 | 334,720 | 11,950 |
| Cost Centre | Cost Centre Name | 2017/18 Budget |  | 10\% Savings | 32,277 |
| WS650 | Street Cleansing | 334,720 |  | 20\% Savings | 64,554 |
|  | TOTAL | 334,720 |  |  |  |


| T | Cost Pressures/Savings on Basis of Current Service Provision |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q | 1\% on salaries £2k. Agency costs £6.8k. Holiday pay £1.6k. |
| (1) | Allow 10\% increase on vehicle repairs $£ 2.9 \mathrm{k}$ |

Impact and Risks if 10\% Savings Imposed

## Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed

Reduce mechanical sweeping from 4 to 8 weeks, this would result in 1 FTE G6 ( $£ 28 \mathrm{k}$ ), sinking fund for 1 sweeper ( $£ 13 \mathrm{k}$ ) and saving on fuel and repairs ( $£ 4 \mathrm{k}$ ).
Review sweeping work and recharge income, circa (£10k)
Vehicle supply and maintenance tender - tbc

SWS02 Waste Collection

| Group | Description | 2015/16 Actuals | 2016/17 Budget | 2017/18 Budge | Movement £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | Employees | 870,341 | 837,980 | 860,640 | 22,660 |
| 2000 | Premises | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3000 | Transport | 525,133 | 473,830 | 459,100 | $(14,730)$ |
| 4000 | Cost Of Goods And Services | 527,275 | 524,110 | 524,340 | 230 |
| 7000 | Income | $(1,135,759)$ | $(1,289,200)$ | $(1,491,200)$ | $(202,000)$ |
|  | Sum: | 786,989 | 546,720 | 352,880 | $(193,840)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Centre | Cost Centre Name | 2017/18 Budget |  | 10\% Savings | 54,672 |
| WS700 | Refuse Collection | 522,130 |  | 20\% Savings | 109,344 |
| WS710 | Trade Waste Collection | $(169,250)$ |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | 352,880 |  |  |  |

## Cost Pressures/Savings on Basis of Current Service Provision

1000-1\% on salaries and movement in SCP £10k. Holiday pay £5k. Agency costs £7k.
3000 - Replacement van budgeted for in Refuse in 16-17 not required in 17-18 (£15k).
4000 - Saving on clinical waste contract (£22k). Increase in trade waste disposal charges £20k.
7000 - Shared waste savings agreement with DCC ( $£ 200 \mathrm{k}$ ). Amend recharge income for clinical waste $£ 7.5 \mathrm{k}$. Decrease in sale of caddy liners $£ 6.9 \mathrm{k}$. Increase in Trade Waste income ( $£ 21.5 \mathrm{k}$ ). Housing now have own skip resulting in $£ 4.7 \mathrm{k}$ on skip recharge income.

Recommendation for Members to agree to increase the following fees in 17-18; Bulky Waste collection by $£ 1$, Garden Waste charges by $£ 1$.

Impact and Risks if 10\% Savings Imposed
(£15k) net saving already made as part of the clinical waste review.
( $£ 18 \mathrm{k})$ saving made on vehicle sinking fund, due to replacing part of fleet with smaller and cheaper refuse vehicles.
(£21k) Increased trade waste income and $1 \%$ in customer base

## SWS02 Waste Collection

Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed
Consideration of:Round restructuring, different work patterns and smaller vehicles.
Composting (shredding) grant review, circa ( $£ 15 \mathrm{k}$ ).
Vehicle supply and maintenance tender - tbc.
Trade waste - review charging for special events circa ( $£ 10 \mathrm{k}$ ).

## SWS03 Recycling



Impact and Risks if 10\% Savings Imposed

Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed
Remove bring bank service, less skip movement charges - tbc
Vehicle supply and maintenance tender - tbc.
Consideration of: Further round restructuring
Rental income from DCC for waste transfer station (£25K).

## SWS04 Waste Management

| Group | Description | 2015/16 Actuals | 2016/17 Budget | 2017/18 Budge | Movement £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | Employees | 218,504 | 169,800 | 162,410 | $(7,390)$ |
| 3000 | Transport | 1,377 | 2,070 | 2,560 | 490 |
| 4000 | Cost Of Goods And Services | 5,608 | 4,000 | 5,820 | 1,820 |
|  | Sum: | 225,490 | 175,870 | 170,790 | $(5,080)$ |
| Cost Centre | Cost Centre Name | 2017/18 Budget |  | 10\% Savings | 17,587 |
| WS750 | Waste Management Staff Unit | 170,790 |  | 20\% Savings | 35,174 |
|  | TOTAL | 170,790 |  |  |  |

## Cost Pressures/Savings on Basis of Current Service Provision

Part of this salary budget has be moved to Refuse and Recycling to allow budget for ECC selling recycling materials and change in grade for the Team Leader.
(D)

ज

## Impact and Risks if 10\% Savings Imposed <br> Possible service restructuring

Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed


[^0]:    Impact and Risks if 20\% Savings Imposed

    - Remodelling of Phoenix Lane PC into Homeless shelter and transfer over to HRA or change its use and covert into a commercial property.

